Formation Ingénierie de Projet Accompagnement des porteurs de projet (référents)

Exercice d’évaluation



# Grille des critères d’évaluation

#

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria | Subcriteria | Score (0-5)\* | Comment |
| **Excellence** **(The following aspects will be taken into account, to the extent that the proposed work corresponds to the description in the work programme)** | Clarity and pertinence of the project’s objectives, and the extent to which the proposed work is ambitious and goes beyond the state of the art. |   |   |
| Soundness of the proposed [for the first stage: overall] methodology, including the underlying concepts, models, assumptions, inter-disciplinary approaches, appropriate consideration of the gender dimension in research and innovation content, and the quality of open science practices, including sharing and management of research outputs and engagement of citizens, civil society and end-users where appropriate |   |   |
| **Impact** | - Credibility of the pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in the work programme, and the likely scale and significance of the contributions from the project |   |   |
| Suitability and quality of the measures to maximise expected outcomes and impacts, as set out in the dissemination and exploitation plan, including communication activities |   |   |
| **Implementation** | Quality and effectiveness of the work plan, assessment of risks, and appropriateness of the effort assigned to work packages, and the resources overall. |   |   |
| Capacity and role of each participant, and the extent to which the consortium as a whole brings together the necessary expertise. |   |   |

\*Interprétation du score :

0 : La proposition ne répond pas au critère ou ne peut être évaluée en raison d'informations manquantes ou incomplètes.

1 : Faible. Le critère est insuffisamment traité ou présente de graves faiblesses intrinsèques.

2 : Passable. La proposition traite globalement le critère, mais présente d'importantes faiblesses.

3 : Bon. La proposition répond bien au critère, mais plusieurs lacunes subsistent.

4 : Très bon. La proposition répond très bien au critère, avec seulement quelques lacunes mineures.

5 : Excellent. La proposition traite avec succès tous les aspects pertinents du critère. Les éventuelles lacunes sont mineures.